		Page 1
1		
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
3	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
4		
5	In the Matter of:	Case No.
6	CHRISTINE PERSAUD, Debtor.	10-44815-ess
7		
8	KLEIN, Plaintiff,	Adv. Case No.
9	v.	10-01228-ess
10	CHRISTINE PERSAUD, et al, Defendants	
11		
12	KLEIN, Plaintiff,	Adv. Case No.
13	v.	11-01456-ess
14	JOHN PEREIRA, Defendant	
15	x	
16	United States Bankruptcy Court	
17	271 Cadman Plaza East	
18	Brooklyn, New York	
19		
20	December 16, 2011	
21	9:21 AM	
22		
23	BEFORE:	
24	HON. ELIZABETH S. STONG	
25	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	

	Page 2
1	
2	[1] Adjourned Pre-Trial Conference re: Complaint. Adjourned
3	from: 10/19/10 11/4/10 12/14/10 1/5/11 2/2/11 3/10/11
4	4/7/11 5/20/11 6/17/11 8/16/11 9/27/11 10/28/11 11/8/11
5	11/14/11 11/28/11
6	
7	[187, 228] Adjourned Hearing (RE: related document(s)[182]
8	Application to Employ Troutman Sanders. Adjourned from:
9	9/27/11 10/28/11 11/8/11 11/14/11 11/28/11
10	
11	[214] Adjourned Hearing on Application for Order to Show Cause
12	(RE: related document(s)[196] Motion for 2004 Examination of
13	Philip Gottehrer). Adjourned from: 9/8/11 9/13/11 9/20/11
14	9/22/11 10/28/11 11/8/11 11/14/11 11/28/11
15	
16	[203] Adjourned Hearing on Application for Order to Show Cause
17	(RE: related document(s)[197] Motion for 2004 Examination of
18	Joel Klein). Adjourned from: 9/8/11 9/13/11 9/20/11
19	10/28/11 11/8/11 11/14/11 11/28/11
20	
21	[201] Adjourned Hearing on Application for Order to Show Cause
22	(RE: related document(s)[198] Motion for 2004 Examination of
23	Caring Home Agency). Adjourned from: 9/8/11 9/13/11 9/20/11
24	9/22/11 10/28/11 11/8/11 11/14/11 11/28/11
25	

	Page 3
1	[202] Adjourned Hearing on Application for Order to Show Cause
2	(RE: related document(s)[199] Motion for 2004 Examination of
3	Abraham Klein). Adjourned from: 9/8/11 9/13/11 9/20/11
4	9/22/11 10/28/11 11/8/11 11/14/11 11/28/11
5	
6	[204] Adjourned Hearing on Application for Order to Show Cause
7	(RE: related document(s)[195] Motion for 2004 Examination of
8	Melquisedec Escobar). Adjourned from: 9/8/11 9/13/11
9	9/20/11 9/22/11 10/28/11 11/8/11 11/14/11 11/28/11
10	
11	[179] Adjourned Motion for Violation of Automatic Stay and to
12	Void Certain Decisions of the State Supreme Court Issued In
13	Violation. Adjourned from: 9/27/11 10/28/11 11/8/11
14	11/14/11 11/28/11
15	
16	[335] Motion for Relief from Stay to Allow Parties to Return to
17	State Court for the Sole Purpose of Determining the Validity of
18	the Default Arbitration Award, the Validity of the Underlying
19	Contract Containing the Arbitration Clause and Who is the
20	Rightful Owner of Caring Home Health Care
21	
22	[1] Adjourned Pre-Trial Conference re: Complaint. Adjourned
23	from: 10/28/11 11/8/11 11/14/11 11/28/11
24	
25	Transcribed by: Shalom Boroda

Case 1:12-cv-03337-JG Document 1-51 Filed 07/05/12 Page 4 of 36 PageID #: 1257

```
Page 4
1
2
    APPEARANCES:
3
    LAW OFFICE OF STEPHEN N. PREZIOSI
4
          Attorney for the Debtor
5
          570 Seventh Avenue
          New York, NY 10018
6
7
8
    BY: STEPHEN N. PREZIOSI, ESQ.
9
10
    PEREIRA & SINISI, LLP
11
12
          Chapter 7 Trustee
13
          405 Lexington Avenue
14
          7th Floor
15
          New York, NY 10174
16
17
    BY: ANN MARIE SINISI, ESQ.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 5
1	
2	TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP
3	Attorneys for Chapter 7 Trustee, John Pereira
4	405 Lexington Avenue
5	New York, NY 10174
6	
7	BY: JOHN P. CAMPO, ESQ.
8	LEE W. STREMBA, ESQ.
9	
10	
11	MENDEL ZILBERBERG & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
12	Attorneys for Abraham Klein
13	6619 Thirteenth Avenue
14	Brooklyn, NY 11219
15	
16	BY: MENDEL ZILBERBERG, ESQ.
17	
18	
19	KRINSKY PLLC
20	Of Counsel to Mendel Zilberberg & Associates
21	on behalf of Abraham Klein
22	233 Broadway
23	New York, NY 10279
24	
25	BY: PERY D. KRINSKY, ESQ.

	Page 6
1	
2	LAW OFFICE OF JOEL LEWITTES
3	Of Counsel to Mendel Zilberberg & Associates
4	on behalf of Abraham Klein
5	1211 Avenue of the Americas
6	New York, NY 10036
7	
8	BY: JOEL LEWITTES, ESQ.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 7
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE CLERK: Numbers 1 through 10 on the calendar. All
3	matters regarding Christine Persaud.
4	THE COURT: All right, let's get your appearances on
5	the record, please.
6	MR. CAMPO: Good morning, Your Honor.
7	MR. STREMBA: Lee Stremba and John Campo of Troutman
8	Sanders for the firm.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	MR. CAMPO: And for the trustee, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	MR. ZILBERBERG: Mendel Zilberberg of Mendel
13	Zilberberg & Associates for Creditor Klein, with Joel Lewittes,
14	of counsel, and Pery Krinsky, of counsel. Good morning, Your
15	Honor.
16	THE COURT: Good morning.
17	MR. KRINSKY: Good morning, Your Honor.
18	MR. LEWITTES: Good morning.
19	THE COURT: All right. We have a number of matters
20	that we've carried from time to time on the calendar today.
21	I would like to move first to the motion for relief
22	from the automatic stay. Do we have a proponent of the motion
23	here in the courtroom?
24	I see that we do not.
25	MR. ZILBERBERG: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I missed

	Page 8
1	that. The which matter is Your Honor
2	THE COURT: The motion for relief from the automatic
3	stay.
4	MR. LEWITTES: I'm ready.
5	THE COURT: Is it your motion?
6	MR. LEWITTES: I'm waiting for the trustee and the
7	debtor's counsel. It's the debtor who has originally made the
8	motion.
9	THE COURT: It is the debtor's motion. And there is
10	no other proponent of the motion, to my knowledge. There is
11	opposition I believe there is opposition to the motion.
12	MR. LEWITTES: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right. In the absence of anyone here
14	to speak on behalf of the motion
15	MR. PREZIOSI: Good morning, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Morning. Are you debtor's counsel?
17	MR. PREZIOSI: I am, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Are you the proponent of the motion for
19	relief from the automatic stay?
20	MR. PREZIOSI: Yes.
21	THE COURT: May I hear you?
22	MR. PREZIOSI: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: We should get your appearance on the
24	record, too. We took appearances.
25	MR. PREZIOSI: Judge, I have two motions pending. One

Page 9 1 is --2 THE COURT: You may take off your overcoat. 3 MR. PREZIOSI: Thank you, Judge. Judge, I have made --4 5 THE COURT: The hearing was scheduled for 9 o'clock, 6 as you know. And I was a few minutes late getting to the 7 bench. But I'm glad you're here. 8 MR. PREZIOSI: Thank you, Judge. 9 Judge --THE COURT: I'd like to hear you on the motion for 10 11 relief from the automatic stay, number 9 on the calendar, 12 please. 13 MR. PREZIOSI: Judge, for all the reasons outlined in 14 my motion --15 THE CLERK: Excuse me, may you please state your name 16 for the record? 17 MR. PREZIOSI: My name is Stephen Preziosi, for the 18 debtor, Christine Persaud. 19 For all the reasons outlined in my motion, Judge, I 20 think the state court is a better venue because we're dealing 21 with state court issues. All of the issues -- the validity of the arbitration award, the default arbitration award -- the 22 state court has a specific statutory vehicle to remedy that: 23 24 Article 75 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, Your Honor. I think there has been a lot of time and effort and 25

Page 10 1 energy and money spent in a lot of other issues that are 2 heavily dependent upon whether or not -- or, I should say, 3 heavily dependent upon who owns Caring and whether or not the arbitration award is valid. And I think the specific statutory vehicle, Article 75 5 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules in the State Court of New 6 7 York, the state court is a venue that is specifically designed and has experience in handling those types of cases. And I think that is the main reason that -- or judicial economy is 9 10 really the main reason that those issues would be better heard 11 in the state court venue. 12 THE COURT: All right. There is some concern 13 expressed -- and I think it may well be well founded -- that 14 the debtor in a Chapter 7 case is the wrong party to seek this 15 relief. And the trustee opposes the relief. It seems to me 16 that all of the interests in claims that the debtor has became 17 property of the estate. And whatever else the parties may 18 agree on or disagree on, they surely agree on that. MR. PREZIOSI: Well, Judge --19 20 THE COURT: That you are -- that you practice more 21 commonly in the state court and so this may be an issue that you were not immediately familiar with --22 23 MR. PREZIOSI: Well, the --24 THE COURT: -- for whatever reason, I --25 MR. PREZIOSI: -- the debtor does have a residual

Page 11 1 interest. 2 THE COURT: -- urge you to address directly the issues 3 raised in the trust -- and let me finish please; I'm sorry, but I need to finish -- the issues raised by the trustee because I think they are quite persuasive. If the trustee were seeking 5 6 this relief, it would be one thing, but the trustee opposes it. 7 MR. PREZIOSI: Well, Judge, I think it's within Your 8 Honor's discretion to decide what would be the best place and what would -- in the interest of judicial economy, where should 9 10 these issues be heard and why. 11 THE COURT: But the debtor is the wrong party. 12 MR. PREZIOSI: And -- and --13 THE COURT: There would be motion to dismiss, I 14 expect, or there would be a defense able to be asserted that it 15 is no longer the debtor, individual debtor's, prerogative to 16 proceed without the trustee. The trustee would have to 17 substitute in. If you have an agreement to that effect with 18 the trustee, that's one thing. 19 MR. PREZIOSI: Well, Judge --20 THE COURT: But that's not in the record; the trustee opposes a relief. 21 MR. PREZIOSI: -- Judge, the debtor has a residual 22 23 interest. This -- these -- both of these companies generate a 24 lot of money that far exceed what is the debt that is owed. 25 She has a residual interest in the outcome.

	Page 12
1	THE COURT: But who is the proper party in the state
2	court?
3	MR. PREZIOSI: Both.
4	THE COURT: Who is the proper party? It's a different
5	question in whether there
6	MR. PREZIOSI: both the
7	THE COURT: is a residual interest available.
8	MR. PREZIOSI: Both the debtor and the trustee would
9	be proper parties in the state court.
10	THE COURT: Can you cite me one case that supports
11	that?
12	MR. PREZIOSI: I can tell you that the debtor has a
13	residual interest. If these companies are sold, are
14	liquidated, the monies left over, my client is entitled to
15	those monies. And
16	THE COURT: Mr. Preziosi, can you point me to even one
17	case consistent with your position?
18	MR. PREZIOSI: That the debtor has a residual
19	interest, Judge?
20	THE COURT: No, that the debtor has the ability to
21	proceed as the party, as opposed to the trustee, when this is a
22	Chapter 7 case. Chapter 11, different story.
23	MR. PREZIOSI: When she has a
24	THE COURT: Chapter 7, there's no law to that effect
25	in your brief

	Page 13
1	MR. PREZIOSI: There's no authority
2	THE COURT: and I'm not surprised that there isn't.
3	MR. PREZIOSI: there's no authority for my client's
4	residual interest, Your Honor?
5	THE COURT: Are you asking me the question, Mr.
6	Preziosi? I think you're posing to me the wrong question. The
7	question is who is the proper party. And there is no law in
8	your brief, nor am I aware of
9	MR. PREZIOSI: Well, I will
10	THE COURT: any controlling law that holds that.
11	So I have to say I'm inclined to deny the motion.
12	MR. PREZIOSI: I will brief that for Your Honor
13	because
14	THE COURT: Mr. Preziosi, you've made the motion.
15	You've had your time. And you were aware of the issue because
16	it's raised directly by the trustee. I need to move this
17	matter forward.
18	All right, I've told you my concerns. Let's hear from
19	the opposition will have a chance to reply.
20	Thank you, Mr. Preziosi.
21	MS. SINISI: Good morning, Your Honor. Ann Marie
22	Sinisi from Pereira & Sinisi, attorney for the trustee in
23	matters relating to Abraham Klein and Caring, pending a
24	retention of Troutman Sanders.
25	With regard to the debtor's motion, the trustee filed

Page 14

his opposition. The debtor has no standing and, I believe the Court very aptly put, that seems to be the one matter where we are in agreement with Creditor Klein that there is -- the debtor has no standing. And, in fact, the issues before the Court with regard to who owns Caring have been joined by the trustee and the Creditor Klein. So --

THE COURT: It may well be that at some point in

the -- in response to the appropriate request for relief made

by the -- made by a party able to proceed in the state court,

that this kind of relief would make sense. I do not foreclose

the prospect of this matter proceeding in state court if and to

the extent that this relief is denied. I'm just very concerned

that the wrong party is seeking the relief and that the

trustee's points -- and the points, I think -- same points may

be made by the Creditor Klein.

The prospect that the debtor would be unable to proceed in the absence of trustee and that any interest of this estate might be actually jeopardized because the wrong party would be there is a serious concern. Separately, to the extent that this may be within my discretion, I would, because of those concerns, be reluctant to introduce those additional issues to the picture here. Yet not to say that that may not be the right forum in some context at some point, just not with a Chapter 7 debtor out-of-possession.

As the -- representative of whether or not there was a

Page 15 residual interest, that's an entirely different question. 1 2 Entirely different question, seems to me. 3 All right, anything further? MS. SINISI: Well --5 THE COURT: You can be sure I read your papers and 6 read your briefs. 7 MS. SINISI: Thank you, Your Honor. Just -- as to the proper forum, I'm sure the Court is aware the trustee filed a 9 motion to withdraw the reference to bring the matters before 10 the district court --11 THE COURT: District court. I saw that. 12 MS. SINISI: -- and is supported strongly by the 13 Internal Revenue Service, who is a major creditor, if not the 14 largest unsecured creditor as a priority creditor, in this 15 case. 16 THE COURT: That's not before me today. In fact, it's ultimately decided by the district court, I think, not me. But 17 18 I am aware of it. I did see it. Thank you. 19 All right. I'll -- who's -- we have a team here for Mr. Klein. Who's taking this issue? 20 21 MR. ZILBERBERG: Your Honor, I'll try. I think that 22 the -- there's nothing, really, for us to add on the issue of 23 standing. And I don't want to waste the Court's time. 24 However, we are concerned that the debtor has two 25 unsigned submissions to this Court which take factually

Case 1:12-cv-03337-Jehragannepter 51 Ubiled 27/05/12 Rage 1:6x01:30 Rage 12:42:69

Page 16 1 inconsistent stands as to the occurrences. And we ask -- we've 2 raised it in our motion. And we would ask the Court to 3 consider asking debtor's counsel to conform, first of all, that their statements should be affirmed under penalties of perjury 5 and, B, that they conform -- they are pleadings so that the facts are at least consistent. 6 7 THE COURT: With respect to the motion for relief from the automatic stay? Are you referencing the papers that were filed by --9 10 MR. ZILBERBERG: By Mr. Preziosi. 11 THE COURT: -- Mr. Preziosi? 12 MR. ZILBERBERG: Yes. Yes. 13 THE COURT: All right. MR. ZILBERBERG: In the first, he doesn't make his 14 15 assertion -- or his affirmation under penalties of perjury, but 16 he has submitted to this Court that, in fact, Caring did not 17 belong to the debtor -- he said that in open court -- and that it belonged to a trust. And now he comes and says that the 18 19 debtor has an interest in Caring. We find those two statements to be factually inconsistent. 20 21 Pursuant to the Rules, his affirmations should be 22 signed in order to have any validity. They should be under 23 penalties of perjury and he should be asked to conform and take 24 a stand as to what debtor's position is. 25 THE COURT: Well, on the one hand, the law, in a

	Page 17
1	general way, does contemplate pleading in the alternative. But
2	that's usually alternative theories as opposed to alternative
3	positions on significant issues of fact. It seems to me it
4	might be unfair to Mr. Preziosi to put him on the spot, as of
5	right now, to reconcile the different positions that the debtor
6	has taken. But it will come to pass, at some point, that the
7	debtor will need to take a consistent position and support it
8	with evidence.
9	I am reviewing the file. I see the notice of motion,
10	not signed. We have a custom of signing papers here. It's not
11	the state court practice
12	MR. PREZIOSI: The affirmation is signed, Your Honor.
13	Maybe the
14	THE COURT: Well, the motion the notice of motion
15	needs to be signed as well, in the general.
16	MR. PREZIOSI: I'll certainly
17	THE COURT: But I will overlook the defect. I take
18	this to be it's substantively the equivalent of your
19	signature. But just the practice point is well taken.
20	With respect to the motion, it maybe was a signed
21	copy, but the electronic copy doesn't show the signature in all
22	events. Again, the conformed signature is part of our
23	practice, our expectation.
24	Unsigned, memorandum of law.
25	Did you file an affirmation of counsel in support of

	Page 18
1	the motion, Mr. Preziosi?
2	MR. PREZIOSI: Judge, the hard copy that I filed was
3	signed. Your Honor may be looking at an electronic copy that
4	I
5	THE COURT: I see a memorandum of law I am. I'm
6	looking at what we printed. I have the memorandum of law
7	MR. PREZIOSI: Yeah, that. I also signed a copy on
8	disk excuse me, filed a copy on disk, which may be the copy
9	that Your Honor is looking
10	THE COURT: I have the motion I don't see an
11	affirmation.
12	MR. PREZIOSI: But all the physical copies were
13	signed.
14	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
15	Mr. Zilberberg, could you point me to the affirmation?
16	I see a notice of motion, a motion and a memorandum. But I'm
17	not finding the document to which you refer. I know I've read
18	all the documents that were I believe I've read all the
19	documents that were filed in connection with this. So
20	MR. ZILBERBERG: The document
21	THE COURT: I have your affirmation.
22	MR. ZILBERBERG: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Go ahead.
24	MR. ZILBERBERG: I'm looking through the papers as we
25	speak, Your Honor.

Page 19 1 THE COURT: Okay. In all events, I think the point 2 was made. And I take it that there are signed copies, perhaps 3 in counsel's file. And --MR. PREZIOSI: They've all been signed, Judge. THE COURT: -- so we --5 6 MR. ZILBERBERG: Your Honor --7 THE COURT: It's just a good reminder to all 8 participants. MR. ZILBERBERG: Your Honor, if they've been signed 9 10 and if they've been submitted, to the extent that they set 11 forth facts and not memorandum of law, we would ask that 12 copies -- the Court direct that copies that are signed that are 13 stated to be under penalties of perjury be produced to us. 14 THE COURT: I'm not going to do that. It's a motion. It's -- I'm inclined to deny the motion on the law and 15 16 the record that's before me. I don't think it's necessary to 17 increase the burdens on the parties. 18 Your points are well taken. If you want to file --19 any party wishes to file evidence before the Court for 20 consideration in connection with a motion, it's, of course, 21 necessary that it be reflected in an affidavit or an 22 affirmation appropriately attested to and signed. 23 So for all the reasons reflected in the record, I'm 24 going to deny the motion for relief from the automatic stay for 25 several reasons, including, without limitation, that this

Case 1:12-cv-03337-Jehreggymentersaubjechen/05/12ersager-choffen Rageren 1273

Page 20 Chapter 7 debtor does not appear to be an appropriate party to proceed with the litigation in state court in the face of the opposition of the Chapter 7 trustee; that there are risks that would be posed to whatever property the estate may be -subject to that litigation because there's a significant question as to whether the debtor, as opposed to the trustee, is the one able to pursue those rights. I acknowledge the possibility that the debtor may have a residual interest that has value here. The value of the assets in this case are unknown at this point. But certainly the amount of heavily contested litigation suggests that, in the perception of the parties, there may well be value. But that residual interest in equity after all of the creditors are paid is not the same as being the party who is the proper party to proceed to assert the rights of this Chapter 7 estate. party is the trustee. And so, for all the reasons reflected in the record and some of the reasons summarized, I'm going to deny the motion and I'll ask the trustee to submit an appropriate order. I think that's appropriate. MS. SINISI: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, thank you. With respect to the other matters on the calendar, it seems to me that we aren't really in a position to make a lot

of progress today. And I don't want to keep you any longer

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 21

than I need to.

There was a question made about the timing of the discovery deadline in one of the adversary proceedings and how that couples with time to respond. There was also a motion that we addressed to some extent.

Mr. Preziosi, you were very new to the matter when I asked you some questions about this at our last hearing or the hearing before. Those are two things on my list on which I'd like to make progress.

But I, knowing from the correspondence and from the history that there are so many things on which you disagree, I want to caution the parties this is not a general forum for complaints about each other. This is a matter -- this is a hearing in which you're going to take up matters where we can make particular progress and I can make decisions. All right? As to your complaints, I urge you to set them to the side.

All right, I have before me the letter of Mr.

Zilberberg concerning the timing -- I guess I should say the

letter of Mr. Lewittes on the letterhead of Mr. Zilberberg -
concerning the adversary proceeding status.

Mr. Lewittes, can I ask you to summarize what you're asking the Court to do in the context of that adversary proceeding, the 10-01228 Klein v. Persaud? It seems to me you're looking for some definition with respect to discovery time and -- and we need to get a sense about the time of an

Page 22 1 answer to motion, I think. 2 MR. LEWITTES: Joel Lewittes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Please. 4 MR. LEWITTES: I believe -- it's based upon the facts that we have set forth in that letter -- that we need real time 5 6 for discovery. We have been pushed a bit. And given the 7 status of the matters here before the Court with respect to discovery, it would not be unreasonable, I believe -- as a matter of fact, I believe it would be more fruitful -- if the 9 10 Court granted us more time for discovery, based upon the facts that we have set forth with fine particularity in our letter, 11 12 dated to the Court on December the 6th. 13 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's think about the 14 pleadings here. We don't -- to this moment, I think, we don't 15 have an answer or motion in response from the debtor. And 16 perhaps, following on the logic of our last matter, this is a 17 question at least as much for trustee's counsel as for debtor's 18 counsel. 19 Ms. Sinisi, let me hear from you. 20 We have an action that's been asserted against --21 MS. SINISI: Your Honor, this is the -- regarding the 22 pretrial conference on the adversary proceeding brought by 23 Abraham Klein against the trustee which seeks a declaratory 24 judgment that Klein owns Caring. 25 MR. ZILBERBERG: No, no, no, no. The other one.

```
Page 23
1
              MS. SINISI: The 2 --
 2
              MR. ZILBERBERG: She's speaking about the one we
 3
     brought against (indiscernible) dischargeability.
 4
              MS. SINISI: For --
              MR. ZILBERBERG: (Indiscernible).
 5
              THE COURT: First on the calendar is Klein v. Persaud.
 6
7
     And this is now, of course -- is this the trustee's enterprise
 8
     to defend?
9
              MS. SINISI: Yeah, no. This was -- no, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: You think this is for the debtor, not the
10
11
     trustee?
12
              MS. SINISI: Yeah, Your Honor, we did not --
13
              MR. CAMPO: Your Honor, may I be heard on this?
14
              THE COURT: Sure.
              MR. CAMPO: I understand that Klein is the plaintiff
15
16
     in the action, but this is a dischargeability action. And, in
17
     fact --
18
              THE COURT: I'm sorry.
19
              MS. SINISI: Yeah --
20
              THE COURT: Of course it is. Thank you very much.
21
     Long --
22
              MS. SINISI: Your Honor -- yeah, I apologize. I
23
     wasn't at the prior hearing --
24
               THE COURT: -- long docket. I'm sorry.
25
              MS. SINISI: -- so I thought perhaps I missed
```

	Page 24
1	something. But yeah
2	THE COURT: I'm sorry. No, you're absolutely right.
3	It is
4	MS. SINISI: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: that's exactly what it is. And I
6	misspoke. And I'm too much in the tall grass of the matter we
7	just considered.
8	All right, this is a dischargeability action. It
9	concerns there's a motion to dismiss pending. It was filed
10	by prior counsel. So that I'm so sorry, I need to I
11	conflated two matters and I need to restate my prior
12	statements. We have a motion to dismiss. We had counsel
13	getting up to speed on that last time we were here.
14	I expect you've had time now to be addressing those
15	issues. Mr. Preziosi, let me hear you
16	MR. PREZIOSI: Your Honor, on the
17	THE COURT: on the status of the motion and
18	MR. PREZIOSI: On the issue of more time for
19	discovery, Your Honor?
20	THE COURT: No, on the question of your motion to
21	dismiss. Because if the dismissal is granted, there's no need
22	for discovery. If the dismissal is denied, then clearly, we
23	need a discovery schedule. So I think it is actually timely to
24	take up the motion to dismiss. Like a few minutes to review
25	your notes on that? I'll give you that time.

	Page 25				
1	MR. PREZIOSI: Judge, I				
2	THE COURT: This is number 6 on the docket, filed by				
3	your client. I brought it to your attention at our last				
4	hearing, I'm fairly certain.				
5	MR. PREZIOSI: My understanding was that Your Honor				
6	was going to dismiss the motion. I haven't taken a look.				
7	THE COURT: You're going to withdraw the motion? I				
8	don't know what you mean by "dismiss the motion".				
9	MR. PREZIOSI: No, I don't want to withdraw the				
10	motion. But my understanding was that Your Honor was not going				
11	to entertain it.				
12	THE COURT: I consider all the motions that are made.				
13	If you're if, on behalf of your client, you're withdrawing				
14	the motion, that's fine. But I need to know what your position				
15	is.				
16	MR. PREZIOSI: No, I'd like to take a look at the				
17	motion before before I the last we spoke				
18	THE COURT: Okay. It's number 6 on the docket. You				
19	can pull it up on the screen.				
20	MR. PREZIOSI: 6.				
21	THE COURT: We'll take a five-minute break to let you				
22	dig in. All right? Thank you very much.				
23	THE CLERK: All rise.				
24	(Recess from 9:44 a.m. until 9:50 a.m.)				
25	THE COURT: All right, let me summarize where I think				

Page 26

we are, from the record. And this takes a little bit of history because this was an adversary proceeding filed, perhaps unusually, in two different debtors' cases, but the same complaint. Because of that, there have been some complexities to the administration and some errors, perhaps going back a few months, on the side of case administration, for which I take full responsibility.

But we now have an action restored to the calendar and a scheduling order, which is the action brought by the Creditor Klein against Christine Persaud, the debtor in this case, raising a claim of -- or there's a question as to whether it states a claim, framed by a motion, but raising a claim of nondischargeability under Section 523 of the Bankruptcy Code.

There was a scheduling order entered -- and this is important because this is the framework within which we are proceeding -- directing a response to the complaint, answer of motion by November 10th. There is on file a motion to dismiss -- and from time to time, I pose the question do you intend to proceed with the motion or do you want to answer or do you want to make a new motion. That motion is actually not on today's calendar. So in fairness to counsel, I'm not going to expect you to argue it today.

But I do need to know if you intend to defend the proceeding. And I'll give you a very short amount of time, since you're in default of the existing scheduling order, to

	Page 27			
1	get a response to the complaint in, whether it's an answer or			
2	motion.			
3	MR. PREZIOSI: Just I'll have a			
4	THE COURT: But that's where we are, as I see it.			
5	MR. PREZIOSI: I'll have a response in by the			
6	before the next court date, Your Honor.			
7	THE COURT: You'll have a response in in a week?			
8	MR. PREZIOSI: Yes. It'll be fine.			
9	THE COURT: Okay. Because it's a I gave you a date			
10	of November 10th and that date has come and gone.			
11	So we'll issue a scheduling order that says the and			
12	I'll note in the docket in fact, we'll simply do the docket			
13	notation because this is a simple direction that your time to			
14	respond to the complaint, whether to move or to answer, is			
15	extended to December 23rd. And we'll see where we are after			
16	that.			
17	MR. PREZIOSI: Thank you, Your Honor.			
18	THE COURT: No, thank you.			
19	All right. And I think that is really the work we're			
20	going to be able to do today. Because of the requirements of			
21	the Court calendar and other matters, those strike me as the			
22	matters most appropriate. This is not a general status report			
23	I have to say.			
24	And oh, I need to give you some relief on your			
25	discovery. I'm going to give you another sixty days because I			

Page 28

number of days after something happens; we'll say sixty days.

If we need to revisit that, we can. I do wonder if there's any information the parties haven't exchanged about each other in some form or another. But that's for the parties, not for me.

Sixty days. Today is -- February 14th. So your discovery deadline will be February 14th. And with those two dates, we will issue a scheduling order. All right?

Okay, I think we're down to scheduling an adjourn date for the continued matters that we do have, which include those 2004 examination applications, of course; and status conferences in both of the adversary proceedings; and the motion with respect to stay violation that addresses some other issues as well. And I'm thinking that it really -- I believe we have closed the record with respect to the retention issue with the submission of the affidavit testimony and the waiver of cross-examination of Professor Green. And so I don't think it makes sense for you to come back until I've decided that issue.

I'm not going to give you my decision today, but I think we need to know who's in place and it's not a good use of the parties' time, nor the Court's, to have you back before then. And so, doing my best prediction, I'm going to look at late January. If there's -- it's possible to adjust those dates, I shall. But I'm -- let's see. In terms of scheduling,

	Page 29				
1	is the week of the 23rd, a week that's possible for the				
2	parties?				
3	MR. STREMBA: Yes, Your Honor.				
4	THE COURT: Are there any days we need to avoid? I'm				
5	saying on scheduling only.				
6	MR. STREMBA: Your Honor, that would be fine. I				
7	THE COURT: Okay.				
8	MR. STREMBA: I just want to point out that I				
9	believe Mr. Krinsky has an issue with respect to conflicts				
10	check documents.				
11	THE COURT: All right. Well, I encourage the parties				
12	to work out all document issues between themselves. I'm going				
13	to say that we'll look at, maybe, midday on the 24th of				
14	January. That's a Tuesday. For now, at least as a holding				
15	date, we'll think about noon on the 24th.				
16	MR. KRINSKY: Your Honor, I apologize. I				
17	THE COURT: You don't need to apologize.				
18	MR. KRINSKY: Unfortunately, I'm teaching at the				
19	Judicial Institute in the morning and at the New York State Bar				
20	in the afternoon. I believe it's				
21	THE COURT: On the 24th?				
22	MR. KRINSKY: On the 24th.				
23	THE COURT: Well, the issue of retention will be				
24	resolved at that point. Is your ongoing participation				
25	anticipated?				

```
Page 30
              MR. KRINSKY: It is not. I thought you wanted us back
1
2
     for that specific issue. But if it's --
3
              THE COURT: No.
4
              MR. KRINSKY: -- only issuing of a decision --
              THE COURT: I think the record's closed. So I -- I'll
5
     work with your schedule if we need to. But I also don't want
6
7
     to impose on you --
8
              MR. KRINSKY: There's no reason --
9
              THE COURT: -- any longer than necessary.
10
              MR. KRINSKY: Indeed. If there's no reason, then my
11
     schedule is moot.
12
              THE COURT: It may be. But, ideally, we'd accommodate
13
     everybody, just in case. Another -- well, the -- what did
14
     we -- what did I just say? The 24th at 11:30. The 24th at
15
     11:30? And if, for some reason -- and, gosh, I hope this does
16
     not prove to be the case. But if, for some reason, we're still
17
     checking the last case or something like that, then you'll get
18
     an appropriate communication from the Court. All right?
19
              MR. ZILBERBERG: Your Honor?
20
              THE COURT: January 24th at 11:30
21
              MR. ZILBERBERG: Your Honor?
22
              THE COURT: Yes?
23
              MR. ZILBERBERG: May I just ask that on the 24th at
     11, I --
24
25
              THE COURT: 11:30.
```

Page 31 1 MR. ZILBERBERG: 11:30. I have a matter in state 2 court. However, if it's just a decision that the Court is 3 going to order, if, as long as I could find that out in advance, I could move the state court issue --THE COURT: You'll have a written decision from -- I 5 expect to issue some kind of a written order on the retention 6 7 issue. It will be dealing with whatever there is to do in this Chapter 7 case. And there may not be much. At some point, 9 this Chapter 7 should go back to the enterprise of the trustee 10 marshaling the assets of the case and the Court being involved 11 as, if and when required to be involved. So --12 MR. ZILBERBERG: If that's the case, then I --13 THE COURT: But if you've got a conflict -- if --14 MR. ZILBERBERG: -- I have a conflict; I have a state 15 court matter. 16 THE COURT: -- if you have a conflict on the 24th, 17 then let's -- I mean it's not simply for me to announce a 18 decision. I don't do that to the parties. 19 MR. ZILBERBERG: I can do the 25th if other counsel 20 can do it. 21 THE COURT: 25th at 9. In fact, it will be the 25th at 9 sharp because I have a trial at 9:30. 22 23 MR. KRINSKY: Your Honor, with respect to the issue of 24 the closing of the record, it was -- we thought it was going to 25 be closed based upon Your Honor's issuance of the November 29th Case 1:12-cv-03337-J@HRISQUINEPER5AUD! PROLETA 1:12-cv-03337-J@HRISQUINEPER5AUD! PROLETA 1:285

	Page 32				
1	order directing the Troutman Sanders firm to produce certain				
2	documents. However, an issue has arisen. We've tried to				
3	resolve that. I filed or through Mendelitz's (ph.) firm, we				
4	filed a document with the Court last night. However,				
5	unfortunately, the issue still remains open.				
6	THE COURT: I direct you to confer further on it and				
7	see if you can get it resolved.				
8	January 25th at 9 o'clock. There's no motion before				
9	me to decide. I think sophisticated counsel can work these				
10	kinds of things out. E-mail correspondence, if there is any				
11	that remains unproduced concerning the retention of the				
12	conflicts check, strikes me as something highly likely to be				
13	appropriate to produce. But we'll see. Nothing's before me to				
14	decide today.				
15	Thank you very much.				
16	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 9:58 AM)				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

		Page 33		
1				
2	INDEX			
3				
4	RULINGS			
5		Page	Line	
6	Debtor's motion for relief from stay denied	19	23	
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Case 1:12-cv-03337-JG Document 1-51 Filed 07/05/12 Page 34 of 36 PageID #: 1287

```
Page 34
1
 2
                         CERTIFICATION
3
4
     I, Shalom Boroda, certify that the foregoing transcript is a
5
     true and accurate record of the proceedings.
6
7
8
9
10
11
     SHALOM BORODA
12
     AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber (CET**D-632)
13
14
     Veritext
     200 Old Country Road
15
16
     Suite 580
17
     Mineola, NY 11501
18
19
     Date: January 3, 2012
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case 1-10-44815-ess Doc 371-1 Filed 01/04/12 Entered 01/04/12 12:20:58

Case 1:12-cv-03337-JG Document 1-51 Filed 07/05/12 Page 35 of 36 PageID #: 1288

United States Bankruptcy Court

Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East, Suite 1595 Brooklyn, NY 11201–1800

IN RE: CASE NO: 1–10–44815–ess

Christine Persaud

SSN/TAX ID: CHAPTER: 7

xxx-xx-0247

DEBTOR(s)

NOTICE OF FILING OF TRANSCRIPT AND OF DEADLINES RELATED TO RESTRICTION AND REDACTION

Notice is hereby given that:

A transcript of the proceeding held on December 16, 2011 was filed on January 4, 2012.

The following deadlines apply:

The parties have until January 11, 2012 to file with the court a Notice of Intent to Request Redaction of this transcript. The deadline for filing a Transcript Redaction Request is January 25, 2012.

If a Transcript Redaction Request is filed, the redacted transcript is due February 6, 2012.

If no such Notice is filed, the transcript may be made available for remote electronic access upon expiration of the restriction period, which is April 3, 2012 unless extended by court order.

To review the transcript for redaction purposes, you may purchase a copy from the transcriber Veritext Reporting Company (212–267–6868) or you may view the document at the public terminal at the Office of the Clerk.

Dated: January 4, 2012

For the Court, Robert A. Gavin, Jr., Clerk of Court

 $\textbf{BLnftrans.jsp} \ [\textbf{Notice of Filing Transcript and Deadlines to Restriction and Redaction rev.} \ 11/21/08]$

Case 1-10-44815-ess Doc 371-2 Filed 01/04/12 Entered 01/04/12 12:20:58

Case 1:12-cv-03337-JG Document 1-51 Filed 07/05/12 Page 36 of 36 PageID #: 1289

Notice Recipients

District/Off: 0207-1 User: mrodrique Date Created: 1/4/2012

Form ID: 295 Total: 9 Case: 1-10-44815-ess

Recipients submitted to the BNC (Bankruptcy Noticing Center):

86–25 Van Wyck Expressway, Apt. 506 Christine Persaud Jamaica, NY 11435 Troutman Sanders LLP The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10174 aty Brooklyn, NY 11219

Mendel Zilberberg 6619 13th Avenue aty Samuel J. Landau 2: Stephen N Preziosi Stephen N. Preziosi, Esq. Ann Marie Sinisi, Esq. aty 250 West 57th Street New York, NY 10107

loor New York, NY 10018 New York, NY 10018 aty 570 Seventh Avenue 6th Floor

570 Seventh Avenue

c/o Pereira &Sinisi, LLP 405 Lexington Avenue 7th Floor New York,

NY 10174

Pery D. Krinsky, Esq. New York, NY 10279 c/o Krinsky PLLC 233 Broadway

New York, NY 10036 Joel Lewittes,, Esq. 1211 Avenue of the Americas

TOTAL: 9